

Available Online at http://www.journalajst.com

ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Asian Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 6, Issue 02, pp. 1036-1040, February, 2015

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DIELECTRIC MATERIALS INCORPORATED MICRO IRON ROD REINFORCED POLYURETHANE COMPOSITES FOR MICROWAVE ABSORBING APPLICATIONS

¹Anirban Maitra, *¹Chapal Kumar Das, ²Shami, T. C. and ²Dixit, A. K.

¹Materials Science Centre, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721302, West Bengal, India ²Defence Materials and Stores Research and Development Establishment, Kanpur 208013, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT			
Article History:	Now a day, a vast variety of ceramic and polymeric materials is used for the fabrication and designing			
Received 26 th November, 2014	of the microwave absorbing materials and RADAR absorbing materials (RAMs). The microwave has			
Received in revised form	got two different parts. One is electric part and the other one is the magnetic component. Both of these			
03 th December, 2014	two components are perpendicular to each other. To absorb the microwave radiation, it is essential to			
Published online 28 th February, 2015	cancel both of these components and the material which will be used as a genuine candidate to absorb			
	the microwave should absorb or nullify the two components. Generally these types of materials can be			
Key words:	materials into a continuous polymer matrix. Here we have prepared the microwave absorbing material with 23.2% filler leading in TBU matrix. The sample thickness was maintained at 2 mm. X raw			
Micro Iron rod,	with 55.5% finer loading in 1FO matrix. The sample unchiess was maintained at 2 min. A ray difference in the sample unchies and seemile and seemile a sample unchies and the sample unchies and seemile and seemil			
Dielectric materials,	unraction studies and scatting percent increased was used to determine the histophology of the as			
Composite,	Network Anguran			
Radar absorbing material,	Network Analyzer.			
X-band Microwave absorption.				

Copyright © 2015 Anirban Maitra et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The traditions of using RADAR absorbing materials (RAMs) or Microwave absorbing materials has been started since 1930s (Das, et al., 2011). Now a day it is used mainly for commercial and military applications. The dielectric properties of various dielectric materials like Barium Titanate, Strontium Titanate, Titanium dioxide, and Zirconium dioxide has been extensively studied. The magnetic properties of micro dimensional iron rod have now become an interesting and emerging field of material research. It is a promising candidate for microwave absorbing application due to its properties like high conductivity, small diameter, high aspect ratio and super huge mechanical strength. That is why the investigations of electro-dynamic properties of composites based on different polymer matrices are growing at a very fast rate. Different polymer matrix can be used for this research like epoxy (Li, et al., 2006; Matzui, et al., 2007), polystyrene (PS), polyaniline (PANI) (Wang, et al., 2005; Watts, et al., 2003; Ma, et al., 2005), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) (Wang, et al., 2005; Fan, et al., 2006), polypropylene (Liu, et al., 2007; Al-Saleh, et al., 2009), etc. Here we have studied the microwave absorbing properties or RADAR absorbing properties of

*Corresponding author: Chapal Kumar Das

Materials Science Centre, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721302, West Bengal, India

various dielectric materials (BaTiO₃, SrTiO₃, ZrO₂, and TiO₂) incorporated and micro dimensional Iron rod loaded polyurethane composites. We thought that micro iron Rod will absorb the magnetic part and the dielectric material will absorb the electric components of the microwave. There is a problem with the iron rod because iron rod is very difficult to disperse and homogenize with the polymer matrix. So technically we have used the polypropylene coated iron rod. In this case the iron rod is first coextruded with polypropylene. The extruded material was directly used as filler where polypropylene compatibilizes between the iron rod and the polyurethane matrix. According to the previous work rod like materials is a better candidate for microwave absorption. Hence, we studied here the microwave absorption ability of five composites with different nature of dielectric material loading. We prepared those composites and their morphological study was investigated by Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction analysis and its microwave characteristics was studied by Agilent vector network analyzer (ENA E5071C). The matrix used for the preparation of different RAMs is thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU). Total filler percentage was maintained around 33.3% and the thicknesses of the RAMs were 2mm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials: Iron rod of diameter 5-8 nm was purchased from Ticona (Germany). The TPU utilized for developing RAMs

belong to commercial medical grade aliphatic. The dielectric materials purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were used without further purifications.

Preparation of the composites: At first we have taken the raw materials in required amount (Table 1). To disperse the iron rod we have used polypropylene coated iron rod. Then we first took the thermoplastic polyurethane along with polypropylene coated iron rod in the Brabender plasticorder. The whole material was mixed for 2-3 minutes at 220°C. After the homogenization of the material, we introduced different dielectric materials in different cases and mixed again for 2 mins. Then the above mixture was removed from the Brabender and directly placed it in compression moulding machine. The pressure used was 5 MPa pressure and the temperature was 170°C for compression moulding process. Aluminum sheet was used as supporting material of the developed RAMs. Samples were prepared with 33.3% filler loading. Thickness of the RAM was maintained to 2mm. Both the samples were shaped into rectangular forms of size 0.4 inch x 0.9 inch to fit into X-band waveguide for microwave measurements.

Characterizations

All the micro structural details and the position of iron rods have been investigated by the Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) study carried out on Carl Zeiss-SUPRA 40. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out by Rigaku ULTIMA-III X-ray diffractometer, with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ A). The microwave absorption study was done by using Agilent vector network analyzer (ENA E5071C).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphological Study

Figure 1 depicts the SEM images for the fractured crosssections of all the samples. The first figure is for $BaTiO_3$ incorporated Fe-rod reinforced sample. The second one is for $SrTiO_3$ incorporated Fe-rod reinforced sample. The third one is for TiO_2 incorporated Fe-rod reinforced sample and the fourth one is for ZrO_2 incorporated Fe-rod reinforced sample respectively.

Table 1. Compositions of the asprepared microwave absorbing composites

Name	Sample-1 (S1)	Sample-2 (S2)	Sample-3 (S3)	Sample-4 (S4)	Sample-5 (S5)
TPU	20	20	20	20	20
FE-ROD	10	5	5	5	5
BARIUM TITANATE	0	5	0	0	0
Sr-TITANATE	0	0	5	0	0
ZrO_2	0	0	0	5	0
TiO ₂	0	0	0	0	5



Fig. 1. SEM images of all the as prepared composites

The morphology shows a very clear distinguishable phase of Iron rod, which is situated in continuous PU matrix. Small fibre like polypropylene is also found surrounds the iron micro rods. The diameter of the iron rod is near 6-8 micrometer range in all the samples. The dielectric materials are properly dispersed in the continuous PU matrix. The dielectric materials in PU matrix form a type of matrix droplet morphology.

X-ray diffraction analysis

Figure 2 depicts the X-ray diffraction pattern of all the as prepared samples. All the samples show characteristic peaks of dielectric materials along with the presence of Iron rod. The peak of iron rod appears near 2Θ = 45-55°. The peak should be much sharper but due to the presence of amorphous polymer matrix, the intensity of the peak is little less. The peak appears at 2Θ =21°, clearly resembles the presence of BaTiO₃. This is the characteristic peak of SrTiO₃. The peak appears at 2Θ =24°, clearly resembles the presence of SrTiO₃. This is also the characteristic peak of SrTiO₃. The peak appears at 2Θ =28.7°, clearly resembles the presence of TiO₂ and the peak appears at 2Θ =27°, clearly resembles the presence of ZrO₂.



Fig. 2. X ray diffraction pattern of all the as prepared composites

Microwave Absorptivity Spectra

To evaluate the microwave absorbing efficiency of the asprepared samples, the return loss (RL) value of the nanocomposites was calculated using the relative complex permittivity and permeability values at given frequency according to the transmission line theory (Michielssen *et al.*, 1993 and Min Zhou *et al.*, 2011). Complex relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''$) and permeability ($\mu_r = \mu' - j\mu''$) of the developed composites were measured by employing vector network analyzer (model PNA E8364B). The characteristic input impedance of the absorbers was calculated with the help of complex permittivity and complex permeability values by using the following equation (Tyagi *et al.*, 2004, 2011)-

$$z_{in} = z_0 \sqrt{(\mu_r/\varepsilon_r)} \tanh\left[(-j2\pi/c)(\sqrt{\varepsilon_r\mu_r})fd\right] \qquad \dots \dots (i)$$

Where z_{in} is the characteristic input impedance, c is the velocity of light, f is the frequency of microwave and d is the

thickness of absorber. The surface reflectance, i.e. the Return loss was calculated by the following equation (Tyagi *et al.*, 2004, 2011)-

$$R_{L} = -20 \log_{10} \left[\left| \frac{(z_{in} - z_{0})}{(z_{in} + z_{0})} \right| \right] \qquad \dots \dots (ii)$$

So, the surface reflectance of an absorber depends on six characteristic parameters, namely ε' , ε'' , μ' , μ'' , f and d. The absorbing properties of the absorbers with different thickness in the X-band region are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Microwave absorbing properties of S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5

Sample-1 showed a minimum return loss (MRL) of 8.24 dB at 8.2 GHz. Sample-2 achieved the MRL of 18.60 dB at 9.95 GHz. Minimum return loss showed by the Sample-3 was 15.47 dB at 8.2 GHz. Sample-4 and 5 showed the minimum return loss of 13.20 dB at 8.42 GHz and 13.30 dB at 9.96 GHz respectively. From the above results, it can be seen that the composites based on both magnetic iron rod and dielectric material achieved superior microwave absorbing performance than the composite based on only iron rod. The return loss values of all the Samples except Sample-1 were less than 10 dB i.e. the composite materials based on both magnetic and dielectric materials absorbed more than 99% microwave energy. Sample-2 (iron rod and BaTiO3 based composite) showed the maximum absorbing capability compare to other magnetic-dielectric based composites because in this case the dielectric property of BaTiO3 is better than other dielectric materials (Abbas et al., 2005). The mechanism of absorption was clearly represented with the help of real and imaginary parts of permittivity and permeability values.

Permittivity and Permeability Spectra

To investigate the possible mechanisms of the microwave absorption of the present composites, the real and imaginary parts relative complex permittivity and permeability values were studied as shown in Figure 4. The real parts of complex permittivity (ϵ'), permeability (μ') stand for energy storage part and the imaginary parts of permittivity (ϵ'') and permeability (μ'') stand for energy loss part. The real parts of permittivity (ϵ') value i.e. electric energy storage parts for Sample-1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were approximately 13.63, 22.78, 17.67, 16.35 and 16.63 respectively with some resonance peak points (Figure 4a).



Figure 4. (a) Real (ε') and (b) imaginary (ε'') parts of relative complex permittivity, (c) real (μ') and (d) imaginary (μ'') parts of relative complex permeability of prepared samples

Dielectric constant depends on two factors, orientation and interfacial polarizations. In case of Sample-2, the orientation and interfacial polarization should be high. The imaginary parts of permittivity (ε'') i.e. electric energy loss parts for Sample-1, 2 were ~7.26, 13.28 and for Sample-3, it was ~11.54. The ε'' values for Sample-4 and 5 were approximately 9.56 and 10 respectively (Figure 4b). Similar to real part of permittivity, the imaginary parts of permittivity also have some resonance peaks. The multi-nonlinear resonance may cause the absorbing proficiency in a wider frequency region. The variation of both real and imaginary parts of permittivity with frequency may be happen due to space charge polarization (Wagner, 1913). The magnetic and dielectric particles embedded into the thermoplastic polyurethane polymeric matrix can act as a large number of charge domains and can contribute to increase the dielectric constant values due to interfacial polarization (Muhammad Abdul Jamal et al., 2009). The dielectric loss mechanisms occur due to the permanent and dominant polarization and their associated relaxation phenomena. The composite materials showed the microwave absorbing properties according to their dielectric loss values. BaTiO₃/Fe rod based composite (S2) have better dielectric loss value than other composites and it achieved better absorbing properties. The real parts of permeability (μ') i.e. magnetic energy storage parts for S1 lie from 1.66 to 1.27 and the value was highest compare to other composite materials.

The μ' values of S2, S3, S4, and S5 were reduced because of the addition of non-magnetic materials into the polymeric matrix and the values were decreased with increasing frequency which presents excellent frequency dispersion (Figure 4c). The value of imaginary parts of permeability (μ'') i.e. magnetic energy loss parts for S1 lie from 0.69 to 0.29 and the μ'' values were reduced for other composite materials due to decrease of bulk concentration of magnetic iron rods (Figure 4d)and magnetic energy loss occurred due to eddycurrent loss and residual loss (Vander Zaag, 1999). In case of present absorbing materials, dielectric loss was mainly responsible for the microwave power absorption but the real and imaginary parts of permeability were also responsible for absorption. Return loss values are calculated from the expression:

$$R_L = -20 \log_{10} \left[\left| \frac{(z_{in} - z_0)}{(z_{in} + z_0)} \right| \right]$$

When the characteristic input impedance (Z_{in}) is close to the free space impedance $(Z_0 = 377 \text{ Ohms})$, a material exhibit better microwave absorbing performance. Characteristic input impedance depends on both the dielectric and magnetic contribution of an absorber. So an absorber achieved better absorbing properties when the dielectric contribution matches the magnetic contribution. For this reason, S1 showed very poor absorbing properties and its absorbing efficiency was tremendously improved after the addition of dielectric materials.

Conclusions

BaTiO₃, SrTiO₃, TiO₂ and ZrO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized successfully by co-precipitation and hydrothermal method. The composite materials were prepared by mixing the particles into the thermoplastic polyurethane polymeric matrix. The structure, morphology, and electromagnetic properties of the obtained products were characterized by XRD, SEM, TEM, and vector network analyzer. The results show that there have been significant changes in the microwave absorbing properties of the magnetic-dielectric based composites when compared with magnetic composite. Impedance matching effect is responsible for achieving the high performance microwave absorbing properties of the composite materials. Hence the present composite materials have great potential in the field of microwave absorption.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, S. M., Dixit, A. K., Chatterjee, R. and Goel, T. C, 2005. "Complex permittivity and microwave absorption properties of BaTiO₃–Polyaniline composite". *Materials Science and Engineering: B* 123.2: 167-171.
- Al-Saleh, M. H. and Sundararaj, U. 2009. Electromagnetic interference shielding mechanisms of CNT/polymer composites. *Carbon*, 47, 1738-1746.
- Barone, V., Hod, O. and Scuseria, G. E. 2006. Electronic Structure and Stability of Semiconducting Graphene Nanoribbons. *Nano Letter.* 6, 2748-2754.
- Bhowmick, S. and Shenoy, V. B. J. 2008. Edge State Magnetism of Single Layer Graphene Nanostructures. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, *128*, 244717.
- Castro, E. V., Peres, N. M. R., Stauber, T. and Silva, N. A. P. 2008. Low-Density Ferromagnetism in Biased Bilayer Graphene. *Physics Review Letter*, 100, 186803.
- Cole, K. S. and Cole, R. H. 1941. Dispersion and Absorption in Dielectrics. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, *9*,341-343.
- Das, C. K. and Mandal, A. 2011. Microwave Absorbing Properties of DBSA-doped Polyaniline/BaTiO3-Ni0.5Zn0.5Fe2O4 Nanocomposites. *Journal of Materials Science Research*, 1(1), 45-53.
- Fan, Z., Luo, G., Zhang, Z., Li Zhou and Fei. 2006. Electromagnetic and microwave absorbing properties ofmulti-walled carbon nanotubes/polymer composites. *Materials Science and Engineering B*, 132,85-89.

- Gupta, A. and Choudhary, V. 2011. Electromagnetic interference shielding behavior of poly (trimethyleneterephthalate)/multi-walled carbon nanotube composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 71, 1563-1568.
- Han, M. and Deng, L. 2011. High Frequency Properties of Carbon Nanotubes and Their Electromagnetic Wave absorption Properties, Carbon Nanotubes Applications on Electron Devices. *Jose Mauricio Marulanda (Ed.)*. ISBN: 978-953-307-496-2.
- Hao, R., Qian, W., Zhang, L. H. and Hou, Y. L. 2008. Aqueous dispersions of TCNQ-anion-stabilized Graphenesheets. *Chemical Communication*, 48, 6576-6578.
- Jamal, E. M. A., Joy, P. A., Kurian, P. and Anantharaman, M. R. 2009. "Synthesis of nickel–rubber nanocomposites and evaluation of their dielectric properties". *Materials Science* and Engineering: B, 156(1), 24-31.
- Mandal, A. and Das, C. K. 2013. Electronic Materials Based on Co0. 5Zn0. 5Fe2O4/Pb (Zr0. 52Ti0. 48) O3 Nanocomposites. *Journal of electronic materials*, 42(1), 121-128.
- Tyagi, S., Baskey, H. B., Agarwala, R. C., Agarwala, V. and Shami, T. C. 2011. "Synthesis and Characterization of SrFe11. 2Zn0. 8O19 Nanoparticles for Enhanced Microwave Absorption. Journal of electronic materials", 40(9), 2004-2014.
- Van der Zaag, P. J., Van der Valk, P. J., and Rekveldt, M. T. 1996. "A domain size effect in the magnetic hysteresis of NiZn-ferrites". *Applied physics letters*, 69(19), 2927-2929.
- Wen, F., Zhang, F. and Liu, Z. 2011. "Investigation on microwave absorption properties for multiwalled carbon nanotubes/Fe/Co/Ni nanopowders as lightweight absorbers". *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 115(29), 14025-14030.
- Zhou, M., Zhang, X., Wei, J., Zhao, S., Wang, L. and Feng, B. 2010. "Morphology-controlled synthesis and novel microwave absorption properties of hollow urchinlike α-MnO2 nanostructures". *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* C,115(5), 1398-1402.
