

Available Online at http://www.journalajst.com

ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Asian Journal of Science and Technology Vol.06, Issue, 10, pp. 1865-1868, October, 2015

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# SCREENING OF *TELFAIRIA OCCIDENTALIS* HOOK IN CRUDE OIL CONTAMINATED SOIL AMENDED WITH GOAT DUNG USING PHYSIOLOGICAL INDICES

\*1Etukudo, Mbosowo. M., <sup>2</sup>Osim, Samuel E. and <sup>3</sup>Okereke, Ifeoma. J.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University Otuoke, P.M.B. 126, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria <sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Resource Management, Abia State University, Uturu, Abia State, Nigeria

#### **ARTICLE INFO** ABSTRACT Selected physiological indices were used as screening tool in evaluating the response of Telfairia Article History: Received 09th July, 2015 occidentalis Hook to crude oil polluted soil amended with goat dung. Pollution treatment was obtained by mixing two kilograms (2kg) of sandy-loam soil with 100ml of crude oil, alongside a control Received in revised form treatment- 0ml (unpolluted soil). The organic supplement was added at the rate of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 17th August, 2015 Accepted 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 Published online 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2015 kg to 100ml level of crude oil polluted soils and placed in perforated polythene bags. Three (3) seeds of T. occidentalis were maintained in each polythene bag based on treatment. Chemical properties of experimental soils were examined before and after harvest. There were significant (P < 0.05) reductions Kev words: in phosphorus and nitrogen contents of contaminated soils relative to the control (unpolluted soil). The Telfairia occidentalis, plant height, root length, leaf number and shoot/root ratio showed significant (P < 0.05) reductions in Crude Oil, contaminated soils comparable with unpolluted treatment. Although, the crop growth parameters were Contaminated Soil, improved with the application of goat dung, the values recorded were comparatively lower than those of Goat dung, the control (unpolluted soil). This study suggests that organic supplement such as goat dung has the Physiological Indices) potential of remediating the adverse effects of crude oil pollution by improving the soil physical and chemical properties as well as supplying the readily available nutrients for growth and development of the test crop.

Copyright © 2015 Etukudo, Mbosowo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Telfairia occidentalis Hook, commonly called fluted pumpkin belongs to the family, Curcubitaceae (Grubben and Denton, 2004), and shows wide diversity in pod and seed colour, seed and plant vigour, anthocyanin content of leaves and petiole or shoots, etc ( Chewya and Eyzauirre, 1999; Schippers, 2002). Fluted pumpkin grows best in warm humid tropics as a perennial but can be grown as an annual under limited rainfall (Grubben and Denton, 2004). Its leaves and immature seeds have lots of nutritive value, hence are cooked and consumed as vegetable while the rind and pulp of the fruits is used as fodder for livestock (Oderinde et al., 1990; Schippers, 2002; Grubben and Denton, 2004). In Nigeria, exploration and production of petroleum oil have been on the increase because of its increased demand as a source of energy and primary raw materials for chemical industries (Moeller et al., 2008; Etukudo et al., 2011). This increase in production, refining and transportation has brought with it an ever increasing problem of environmental pollution (Onuh et al., 2008; Ogbo, 2009). The Niger Delta region of Nigeria has been under the threat of petroleum oil pollution over the years (Inoni et al., 2006;

Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University Otuoke, P.M.B. 126, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Etukudo et al., 2015), and has been subjected to unsustainable oil exploration activities, thus rendering its ecosystem to a severely contaminated status (Adedokun and Ataga, 2013). The deleterious effect of petroleum oil pollution on the environment has generated a great necessity to protect the environment from this hazard (Moeller et al., 2008). The adverse effects of petroleum oil pollution include, damage to soil microorganisms and plants as well as distortion of soil chemistry, such as increase in soil organic carbon, and reduction in soil nitrate and phosphorus (Okolo et al., 2005; Etukudo et al., 2010). The use of organic manure is one of the economical and environmental friendly methods of remediation of adverse effects of oil pollution due to its macro and micro nutrients composition (Belay et al., 2001; Lei et al., 2005). Various remediation approaches have been reported, however, this study was designed to evaluate soil chemical properties and its relationship to selected physiological indices of Telfairia occidentalis Hook in crude oil contaminated soil.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Pollution Experiment**

Sandy-loam soil obtained from Abak, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria was analysed for physico-chemical properties using standard procedures (A.O.A.C, 1999).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Etukudo, Mbosowo. M.,

Properties	Garden soil (control-0)	Pollution treatment	Remediation treatment- Concentration of Goat dung (kg)				
			0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	
pН	$5.30 \pm 0.62$	$5.40 \pm 0.31$	$5.30\pm0.20$	$5.42 \pm 0.15$	$5.50 \pm 0.27$	$5.70 \pm 0.23$	
Available P (mg/100g)	$7.32 \pm 0.43$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$	$0.53 \pm 0.04$	$1.56 \pm 0.10$	$2.62 \pm 0.18$	$2.76 \pm 0.26$	
Total N (%)	$1.66 \pm 0.22$	$0.27 \pm 0.05$	$0.10 \pm 0.03$	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	$0.17 \pm 0.05$	$0.26 \pm 0.42$	
Organic carbon (%)	$2.08 \pm 0.46$	$3.32 \pm 0.50$	$3.42 \pm 0.38$	$3.66 \pm 0.34$	$4.07\pm0.33$	$4.56 \pm 0.24$	
Ca (mg/100g)	$2.69 \pm 0.24$	$2.80 \pm 0.41$	$2.73 \pm 0.46$	$2.96 \pm 0.43$	$3.01 \pm 0.21$	$3.37 \pm 0.84$	
Mg (mg/100g)	$2.12 \pm 0.74$	$3.43 \pm 0.82$	$2.20 \pm 0.42$	$2.32 \pm 0.27$	$2.46 \pm 0.32$	$2.52 \pm 0.22$	
Na (mg/100g)	$3.20 \pm 0.61$	$8.26 \pm 0.34$	$3.46 \pm 0.39$	$3.52 \pm 0.33$	$3.67 \pm 0.17$	$3.78 \pm 0.40$	
K (mg/100g)	$1.17 \pm 0.52$	$1.34 \pm 0.30$	$1.21 \pm 0.30$	$1.25\pm0.21$	$1.36\pm0.22$	$1.49 \pm 0.11$	

Table 1. Chemical Properties of Experimental Soil before Harvest

Mean  $\pm$  standard error from five replicates

Table 2. Growth Parameters of Telfairia occidentalis Hook Grown in Crude Oil Polluted Soil Amended with Goat dung

Garden soil (control-0)	Pollution treatment	Remediation treatment- Concentration of Goat dung (kg)				
		0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	
$48.42 \pm 0.29$	$14.25 \pm 0.17$	$36.52 \pm 0.47$	$37.27 \pm 0.51$	$40.36 \pm 0.70$	$42.24 \pm 0.33$	
$16.67 \pm 0.35$	$10.30 \pm 0.24$	$12.43 \pm 0.40$	$11.36 \pm 0.16$	$15.03 \pm 0.82$	$15.23 \pm 0.64$	
$15.67 \pm 0.62$	$6.33 \pm 0.45$	$11.33 \pm 0.32$	$11.37 \pm 0.21$	$12.67 \pm 0.54$	$12.93 \pm 0.21$	
$3.09\pm0.60$	$2.25\pm0.20$	$3.22\pm0.81$	$3.27\pm0.42$	$3.17\pm0.30$	$3.27\pm0.42$	
	Garden soil (control-0) $48.42 \pm 0.29$ $16.67 \pm 0.35$ $15.67 \pm 0.62$ $3.09 \pm 0.60$	Garden soil (control-0)Pollution treatment $48.42 \pm 0.29$ $14.25 \pm 0.17$ $16.67 \pm 0.35$ $10.30 \pm 0.24$ $15.67 \pm 0.62$ $6.33 \pm 0.45$ $3.09 \pm 0.60$ $2.25 \pm 0.20$	Garden soil (control-0)Pollution treatmentRemediation tr $48.42 \pm 0.29$ $14.25 \pm 0.17$ $36.52 \pm 0.47$ $16.67 \pm 0.35$ $10.30 \pm 0.24$ $12.43 \pm 0.40$ $15.67 \pm 0.62$ $6.33 \pm 0.45$ $11.33 \pm 0.32$ $3.09 \pm 0.60$ $2.25 \pm 0.20$ $3.22 \pm 0.81$	Garden soil (control-0)Pollution treatmentRemediation treatment- Concer $0.5$ $1.0$ $48.42 \pm 0.29$ $14.25 \pm 0.17$ $36.52 \pm 0.47$ $37.27 \pm 0.51$ $16.67 \pm 0.35$ $10.30 \pm 0.24$ $12.43 \pm 0.40$ $11.36 \pm 0.16$ $15.67 \pm 0.62$ $6.33 \pm 0.45$ $11.33 \pm 0.32$ $11.37 \pm 0.21$ $3.09 \pm 0.60$ $2.25 \pm 0.20$ $3.22 \pm 0.81$ $3.27 \pm 0.42$	Garden soil (control-0)Pollution treatmentRemediation treatment- Concentration of Goat d $0.5$ $1.0$ $1.5$ $48.42 \pm 0.29$ $14.25 \pm 0.17$ $36.52 \pm 0.47$ $37.27 \pm 0.51$ $40.36 \pm 0.70$ $16.67 \pm 0.35$ $10.30 \pm 0.24$ $12.43 \pm 0.40$ $11.36 \pm 0.16$ $15.03 \pm 0.82$ $15.67 \pm 0.62$ $6.33 \pm 0.45$ $11.33 \pm 0.32$ $11.37 \pm 0.21$ $12.67 \pm 0.54$ $3.09 \pm 0.60$ $2.25 \pm 0.20$ $3.22 \pm 0.81$ $3.27 \pm 0.42$ $3.17 \pm 0.30$	

Mean  $\pm$  standard error from five replicates

Table 3. Chemical Properties of Experimental Soil after Harvest

Properties	Garden soil (control-0)	Pollution treatment	Remediation treatment- Concentration of Goat dung (kg)			
			0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0
pН	$5.20 \pm 0.21$	$4.30 \pm 0.11$	$5.60 \pm 0.20$	$5.60 \pm 0.17$	5.70 ±0.21	$5.80 \pm 0.26$
Available P (mg/100g)	$2.07 \pm 0.34$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.36\pm0.06$	$0.41 \pm 0.02$	$0.49\pm0.08$	$0.57\pm0.06$
Total N (%)	$0.42 \pm 0.05$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.03\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.02$	$0.10\pm0.02$	$0.15 \pm 0.02$
Organic carbon (%)	$0.33 \pm 0.06$	$7.32 \pm 0.43$	$3.72 \pm 0.80$	$3.96 \pm 0.30$	$4.52 \pm 0.20$	$4.96 \pm 0.19$
Ca (mg/100g)	$0.39 \pm 0.04$	$1.75 \pm 0.11$	$1.07 \pm 0.41$	$1.12 \pm 0.26$	$1.21 \pm 0.35$	$1.90 \pm 0.31$
Mg (mg/100g)	$1.03 \pm 0.46$	$2.20 \pm 0.21$	$0.97 \pm 0.29$	$1.04 \pm 0.43$	$1.09 \pm 0.45$	$1.17 \pm 0.36$
Na (mg/100g)	$1.96 \pm 0.35$	$4.40 \pm 0.47$	$1.76 \pm 0.31$	$2.02 \pm 0.13$	$2.36 \pm 0.31$	$2.47 \pm 0.12$
K (mg/100g)	$0.24\pm0.02$	$1.16 \pm 0.20$	$0.36\pm0.03$	$0.52\pm0.01$	$1.07\pm0.27$	$1.16\pm0.17$
Mg (mg/100g) Na (mg/100g) K (mg/100g)	$1.03 \pm 0.46$ $1.96 \pm 0.35$ $0.24 \pm 0.02$	$2.20 \pm 0.21  4.40 \pm 0.47  1.16 \pm 0.20$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07 \pm 0.41 \\ 0.97 \pm 0.29 \\ 1.76 \pm 0.31 \\ 0.36 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$1.12 \pm 0.20$ $1.04 \pm 0.43$ $2.02 \pm 0.13$ $0.52 \pm 0.01$	$1.21 \pm 0.33$ $1.09 \pm 0.45$ $2.36 \pm 0.31$ $1.07 \pm 0.27$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00 \pm 0.031 \\ 1.17 \pm 0.36 \\ 2.47 \pm 0.12 \\ 1.16 \pm 0.17 \end{array}$

Mean  $\pm$  standard error from five replicates

Two kilograms (2kg) of sandy-loam soil were mixed thoroughly with 100ml of crude oil and allowed to condition for one week, alongside a control treatment- 0ml (unpolluted soil).

#### **Remediation Treatment**

Goat dung was obtained from local farmers in Abak, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. The organic supplement was added at the rate of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg to 100 ml level of crude oil polluted soils. The soil samples were allowed to condition for four weeks before being placed in perforated polythene bags (18 x 36cm).

#### **Germination Studies**

Seeds of T. occidentalis were obtained from Akwa Ibom State Agricultural Development Project (AKADEP), Uvo, Akwa Ibom State. Seeds were sterilized with 0.01% mercuric chloride solution for 30 seconds, washed several times with distilled water and air dried. Five (5) seeds of T. occidentalis were sown in each polythene bag based on treatment [0control (soil only), pollution treatment (soil + 100ml crude oil), 0.5kg goat dung + 100ml crude oil + soil, 1.0kg goat dung + 100ml crude oil + soil, 1.5kg goat dung + 100ml crude oil + soil, and 2.0kg goat dung + 100ml crude oil + soil]. The seedlings were thinned to three (3) per bag. Five replicates were used for each treatment using randomized complete block design. The experimental set up was maintained at a mean minimum temperature of 22.32°C and mean maximum temperature of 34.18°C, under natural light condition for two (2) months.

#### **Growth Studies**

Plant height, root length, leaf number and shoot/root ratio were measured at the end of the experiment.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was carried out using analysis of variance (ANOVA) (P < 0.05) using the method of Ogbeibu (2005) and Obi (2002).

#### RESULTS

Table 1 shows the chemical properties of experimental soil before harvest. The pH of the experimental soils before harvest ranged from 5.30, 5.40, 5.30, 5.42, 5.50 to 5.70 in garden soil (control), pollution treatment, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of goat dung amended soil, respectively. The phosphorus content was comparatively lower in pollution treatment (0.19 mg/100g) than the control (7.32 mg/100g), while the phosphorus content in amended soils significantly (P < 0.05) increased with increase in levels of organic supplement with values ranging from 0.53, 1.56, 2.62 and 2.76 mg/100g for 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of organic amended soil, respectively (Table 1). The nitrogen content of the experimental soil ranged from 1.66, 0.27, 0.10, 0.14, 0.17 to 0.26 % for garden soil (control), pollution treatment, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of goat dung amended soil, respectively (Table 1). The carbon content of the experimental soil ranged from 2.08, 3.32, 3.42, 3.66, 4.07 to 4.56 for garden soil (control), pollution treatment, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of organic amendment, respectively (Table 1).

In addition, the calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium contents were comparatively higher in pollution treatment (2.80, 3.43, 8.26 and 1.34 mg/100g) than the control (2.69, 2.12, 3.20 and 1.17 mg/100g).

Table 2 shows the growth parameters of T. occidentalis. The plant height of the crop significantly (P < 0.05) increased with increase in the level of goat dung, although these values were relatively lower than those of the control (Table 2). There were significant (P < 0.05) increases in root length of the test crop with application of goat dung above the value recorded at the pollution treatment. These values were comparatively lower than that of the control (Table 2). The leaf number of the crop ranged from 15.67, 6.33, 11.33, 11.37, 12.67 to 12.93 for control, pollution treatment, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of goat dung amended soil, respectively (Table 2). Similarly, the shoot/root ratio of the crop ranged from 3.09, 2.25, 3.22, 3.27, 3.17 to 3.27 for control, pollution treatment, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of goat dung amended soil, respectively (Table 2). Table 3 shows the chemical properties of experimental soil after harvest. The pH of the experimental soil ranges from 5.20, 4.30, 5.60, 5.60, 5.70 to 5.80 for control, pollution treatment, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg level of goat dung amended soil, respectively (Table 3). Generally, a substantial proportion of chemical elements were present in the experimental soil at all levels of treatment after harvest (Table 3).

# DISCUSSION

The chemical properties of experimental soil were negatively affected in pollution treatment. Petroleum oil pollution has been reported to alter both the chemical and physical properties of soils, aggregating soil particles, lowering porosity and increasing resistance to penetration and hydrophobicity (Onuhoha et al., 2003). In this study, the soil nitrogen and phosphorus level decreased considerably with increase in carbon contents in the polluted soil. This result is in line with the work of Okolo et al. (2005), Hussein (1997), and Etukudo et al. (2015) that crude oil pollution adversely affects the soil components due to adsorption to soil particles, increase in carbon that might be unavailable for microbial utilization and induction of a limitation in soil nitrogen and phosphorus. Similarly, the partial coating of soil surfaces by the hydrocarbons reduces the water -holding capacity of the soil due to a significant reduction in the binding properties of clay, thus, this partial coating also leads to reduced oxygen and water permeability through the soil (Chen et al., 1995; Adedokun and Ataga, 2007).

The adverse effects of petroleum oil pollution on pH might have contributed significantly to poor growth parameters of the test crop in pollution treatment as shown in this study. Soil pH directly affects solubility of many nutrients in soil for proper plant growth and development (Anoliefo, 2006; Udoh *et al.*, 2005). However, the use of organic supplement ameliorated the unfavourable conditions in crude oil polluted soil by providing the available nutrients for plant use (Belay *et al.*, 2001; Odedina *et al.*, 2003). In addition, the organic supplement has been shown to enhance biodegradation of petroleum pollutant by providing the favourable condition required by microorganisms for optimum biodegradation (Offor and Akonye, 2006). Similarly, the improved growth performance of the test crop may be attributed to the improved anaerobic and hydrostatic conditions of the polluted soil and enhanced soil-plant- water relationship resulting from the application of organic supplement (Moeller *et al.*, 2008; Odokuma and Ibor, 2002).

#### Conclusion

This study suggests that organic supplement such as goat dung has the potential of remediating the adverse effects of crude oil pollution by improving the soil physical and chemical properties as well as supplying the readily available nutrients for growth and development of the test crop.

#### **Conflict of interest**

There was no conflict of interest among the three authors that contributed meaningfully to this research.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to appreciate the contributions from Mr. Isaac Udo Isaac, the Senior Technologist, Department of Chemistry, and Mr Awolabi Akeem, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State. We also acknowledge all authors whose works have been cited as well as incorporated into the references of this paper.

### REFERENCES

- A.O. AC., 1999. Association of Official Analytical Chemist. Methods of analysis (16<sup>h</sup> Edition), Washington DC., U.S.A.
- Adedokun, O.M. and Ataga, A.E. 2007. Effects of amendments and bioaugmentation of soils polluted with crude oil, automotive gasoline oil and spent engine oil on the growth of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). *Scientific Research and Essay*, 2, 147-149.
- Anoliefo, C. O. 2006. *Introductory tropical plant biology*. Nigeria: Uniben Press, pp. 257-362.
- Belay, A., Classens, A.S., Wehner, F. C. and De-Beer, J. M. 2001. Influence of residual manure on selected nutrient elements and microbial composition of soil under long term crop rotation. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, 18, 1-6.
- Chen, Z. S., Lee, D. Y., Lin, C. Q. and Way, P. 1995. Heavy metal contents of representative agricultural soil in Taiwan. *Journ. Chinese Insti. Environ. Engineers*, 5, 205-211.
- Chweya, J. A. and P. B. Eyzaguirre, P. B. 1999. *The biodiversity of traditional leafy vegetables*. Rome: IPGRI.
- Etukudo, M. M., Nwaukwu, I. A. and Habila, S. 2011. The Effect of Sawdust and Goat Dung Supplements on Growth and Yield of Okro (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) (L. Moench) in Diesel Oil Contaminated Soil. *Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment*, 3(2): 92-98.
- Etukudo, M. M., Roberts, E. M. I. and Okereke I. J. 2015. Physiological Parameters of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. in Diesel Oil Polluted Soil and Amelioration Treatment. *International Journal of Basic Science and Technology*, 1(1), (In Press).
- Etukudo, M. M., Okon, I. E., Osim, S. E., Egbe, A. and Eremrena, P. 2010. Tolerance of *Abelmoschus esculentus* to diesel oil polluted soil. *Global Journal* of Environmental Science, 10(1and2):5-9

- Grubben, G. J. H. and Denton, O.A. 2004. Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 2 vegetables, p: 668. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands/CTA, Wageningen Netherlands.
- Hussein, T. O. 1997. Effects of poultry manure on growth of tomato. Proceeding of the 15<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference, HORTSON, April 8- 11, 1997. NIHORT, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Inoni, O. E., Omotor, D. G. and Adun, F. N. 2006. The effect of oil spillage on crop yield and farm income in Delta State, Nigeria. *Central European Journal of agriculture*, 7(1), 41-48.
- Lei, L., Amadi, P., Khodadoust, MaKam, T. S. and Tabak, H. H. 2005. Biodegradation of sediment-bound PAHS in field contaminated sediments. *Water Research*, 39, 349-361.
- Moeller, J., Gaarn, H., Steckel, T., Wedebye, E. B. and Westermann, P. 2008. Environmental sciences, biodegradation, argumentation, diesel fuels, soils contamination. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination* and Toxicology, 96 (6): 913-918.
- Obi, 1. U. 2002. Statistical Methods of Detecting Differences Between Treatment Means and Research Methodology Issues in Laboratory and Field Experiments. Nigeria, AP Express Publishers Limited.
- Odedina, S. A., Odedina, S. O., Ayen, S. A., Arowo J., Adeippeye, S. D. and Ojeneyi, S. O. 2003. Effects of types of ash on soil fertility nutrient availability and yield of tomato and Pepper. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 13, 61-67
- Oderinde, R., O. Tairu, F. Awofala and D. Ayediran. 1990. A study of the chemical composition of some Curcubitaceae family. *Rivista Italiana delle Sostanza Grasse*, 67 (5): 259-261.
- Odokuma, L. O. and Ibor, M. N. 2002. Nitrogen fixing bacteria enhanced bioremediation of crude oil polluted soil. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 8(4), 455-468.

- Offor, U. S. and Akonye, L. A. 2006. Amendment of crude oil contaminated soil with sawdust and Chromeleana leaves for optimum plant protection. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 5(9), 770-774.
- Ogbeibu, A. E. 2005. *Biostatistics: A practical approach to research and data handling*. Mindex Publishing Company Limited, Benin.
- Ogbo, E. M. 2009. Effects of diesel fuel contamination on seed germination of four crop plants- *Arachis hypogeal*, *Vigna unguiculata, sorghum bicolour* and *Zea mays*. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(2), 250-253.
- Okolo, J. C., Amadi, E. N. and Odu, C. T. I. 2005. Effects of soil treatment containing poultry manure on crude oil degradation in a loamy- sandy soil. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 3(1): 41-53.
- Onuh, M. O., Madukwe, D. K. and Ohia, G. U. 2008. Effects of Poultry manure and cowdung on the physical and chemical properties of crude oil polluted soil. *Science World Journal*, 3(2), 45-50.
- Onuhoha, C. I., Arinze, A. E. and Ataga, A. E. 2003. Evaluation of growth of some fungi in crude oil polluted environment. *Global Formal Agric. Science*, 2, 1596-2903.
- Schippers, R. R., 2002. African indigenous vegetables, an overview of the cultivated species 2002. Revised edition on CD-ROM. National Resources International Limited Aylesford, United Kingdom.
- Udoh, D. J., Ndon, B. A., Asuquo, P. E., and Ndaeyo N. U. (2005). *Crop production techniques for tropics* .Nigeria: Concept Publication Ltd.

\*\*\*\*\*\*